

# TRANSLATION INVARIANCE IN GROUPS OF PRIME ORDER

VSEVOLOD F. LEV

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that there is an absolute constant  $c > 0$  with the following property: if  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  denotes the group of prime order  $p$ , and a subset  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  satisfies  $1 < |A| < p/2$ , then for any positive integer  $m < \min\{c|A|/\ln|A|, \sqrt{p/8}\}$  there are at most  $2m$  non-zero elements  $b \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  with  $|(A+b) \setminus A| \leq m$ . This (partially) extends onto prime-order groups the result, established earlier by S. Konyagin and the present author for the group of integers.

We notice that if  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is an arithmetic progression and  $m < |A| < p/2$ , then there are exactly  $2m$  non-zero elements  $b \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  with  $|(A+b) \setminus A| \leq m$ . Furthermore, the bound  $c|A|/\ln|A|$  is best possible up to the value of the constant  $c$ . On the other hand, it is likely that the assumption  $m < \sqrt{p/8}$  can be dropped or substantially relaxed.

## 1. BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION

For a finite subset  $A$  and an element  $b$  of an additively written abelian group, let

$$\Delta_A(b) := |(A+b) \setminus A|.$$

If  $A$  does not contain cosets of the subgroup, generated by  $b$ , then the quantity  $\Delta_A(b)$  can be interpreted as the smallest number of arithmetic progressions with difference  $b$  into which  $A$  can be partitioned. We also note that  $|A| - \Delta_A(b)$  is the number of representations of  $b$  as a difference of two elements of  $A$ ; thus,  $\Delta_A(b)$  measures the “popularity” of  $b$  as such a difference (with 0 corresponding to the largest possible popularity).

The function  $\Delta_A$  has been considered by a number of authors, the two earliest appearances in the literature we are aware of being [EH64] and [O68]. Evidently, we have  $\Delta_A(0) = 0$ ; other well-known properties of this function are as follows:

- P1.  $\Delta_A(-b) = \Delta_A(b)$  for any group element  $b$ .
- P2. If the underlying group is finite and  $\bar{A}$  is the complement of  $A$ , then  $\Delta_{\bar{A}}(b) = \Delta_A(b)$  for any group element  $b$ .
- P3.  $\Delta_A(b_1 + \cdots + b_k) \leq \Delta_A(b_1) + \cdots + \Delta_A(b_k)$  for any integer  $k \geq 1$  and group elements  $b_1, \dots, b_k$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11B75; Secondary: 11B25, 11P70.

*Key words and phrases.* Popular differences, set addition, additive combinatorics.

P4. Any finite, non-empty subset  $B$  of the group contains an element  $b$  with  $\Delta_A(b) \geq \left(1 - \frac{|A|}{|B|}\right) |A|$ .

The interested reader can find the proofs in [EH64, O68, HLS08] or work them out as an easy exercise. We confine ourselves to the remark that the last property follows by averaging over all elements of  $B$ .

The basic problem arising in connection with the function  $\Delta_A$  is to show that it does not attain “too many” small values; that is, every set  $B$  contains an element  $b$  with  $\Delta_A(b)$  large, with the precise meaning of “large” determined by the size of  $B$ . Accordingly, we let

$$\mu_A(B) := \max_{b \in B} \Delta_A(b).$$

Property P4 readily yields the simple lower-bound estimate

$$\mu_A(B) \geq \left(1 - \frac{|A|}{|B|}\right) |A|; \quad (1)$$

however, this estimate is far from sharp, and insufficient for most applications.

Notice, that if  $d$  is a group element of sufficiently large order,  $A$  is an arithmetic progression with difference  $d$ , and  $B = \{d, 2d, \dots, md\}$  with  $m = |B| \leq |A|$ , then  $\mu_A(B) = |B|$ . Thus,

$$\mu_A(B) \geq |B| \quad (2)$$

is the best lower-bound estimate one can hope to prove under the assumption  $B \cap (-B) = \emptyset$  (cf. Property P1). In view of the trivial inequality  $\mu_A(B) \leq |A|$ , a necessary condition for (2) to hold is  $|B| \leq |A|$ , but this may not be enough to require: say, an example presented in [KL] shows that (2) fails in general for the group of integers, unless  $|B| < c|A|/\ln|A|$  with a sufficiently small absolute constant  $c$ . As shown in [KL], this last assumption already suffices.

**Theorem 1** ([KL, Theorem 1]). *There is an absolute constant  $c > 0$  such that if  $A$  is a finite set of integers with  $|A| > 1$ , and  $B$  is a finite set of positive integers satisfying  $|B| < c|A|/\ln|A|$ , then  $\mu_A(B) \geq |B|$ .*

## 2. THE MAIN RESULT

It is natural to expect that an analogue of Theorem 1 remains valid for groups of prime order, particularly since the arithmetic progression case is “worst in average” for these groups: namely, it is easy to derive from [L98, Theorem 1] that for all sets  $A$  and  $B$  of given fixed size in such a group, satisfying  $B \cap (-B) = \emptyset$ , the sum  $\sum_{b \in B} \Delta_A(b)$  is minimized when  $A$  is an arithmetic progression, and  $B = \{d, 2d, \dots, md\}$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer and  $d$  is the difference of the progression. The goal of this note is to establish the corresponding supremum-norm result.

Throughout, we denote by  $\mathbb{Z}$  the group of integers, and by  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  with  $p$  prime the group of order  $p$ .

**Theorem 2.** *There exists an absolute constant  $c > 0$  with the following property: if  $p$  is a prime and the sets  $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  satisfy  $1 < |A| < p/2$ ,  $B \cap (-B) = \emptyset$ , and  $|B| < \min\{c|A|/\ln|A|, \sqrt{p/8}\}$ , then  $\mu_A(B) \geq |B|$ .*

As Property P2 shows, the assumption  $|A| < p/2$  of Theorem 2 does not restrict its generality. In contrast, the assumption  $|B| < \sqrt{p/8}$  seems to be an artifact of the method and it is quite possible that the assertion of Theorem 2 remains valid if this assumption is substantially relaxed or dropped altogether.

We notice that Theorem 2 is formally stronger than Theorem 1. However, the proof of the former theorem (presented in Section 4) relies on the latter one, used “as a black box”. The proof also employs a rectification result of Freiman, and elements of the argument used in [KL] to prove Theorem 1, in a somewhat modified form.

The rest of this paper is divided into three parts: having prepared the ground in the next section, we prove Theorem 2 in Section 4, and present an application to the problem of estimating the size of a restricted sumset in the last section.

### 3. THE TOOLBOX

In this section we collect some auxiliary results, needed in the course of the proof of Theorem 2.

Given a subset  $B$  of an abelian group and an integer  $h \geq 1$ , by  $hB$  we denote the  $h$ -fold sumset of  $B$ :

$$hB := \{b_1 + \cdots + b_h : b_1, \dots, b_h \in B\}.$$

Our first lemma is an immediate consequence of Property P3.

**Lemma 1.** *For any integer  $h \geq 1$  and finite subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of an abelian group we have*

$$\mu_A(hB) \leq h\mu_A(B).$$

The following lemma of Hamidoune, Lladó, and Serra gives an estimate which, looking deceptively similar to (1), for  $B$  small is actually rather sharp. We quote below a slightly simplified version, which is marginally weaker than the original result.

**Lemma 2** ([HLS08, Lemma 3.1]). *Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are non-empty subsets of a finite cyclic group such that  $B \cap (-B) = \emptyset$  and the size of  $A$  is at most half the size of the group. If every element of  $B$  generates the group, then*

$$\mu_A(B) > \left(1 - \frac{|B|}{|A|}\right) |B|.$$

Yet another ingredient of our argument is a rectification theorem due to Freiman.

**Theorem 3** ([N96, Theorem 2.11]). *Let  $p$  be a prime and suppose that  $B \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is a subset with  $|B| < p/35$ . If  $|2B| \leq 2.4|B| - 3$ , then  $B$  is contained in an arithmetic progression with at most  $|2B| - |B| + 1$  terms.*

Finally, we need a lemma showing that if  $B$  is a dense set of integers, then the difference set

$$B - B := \{b' - b'' : b', b'' \in B\}$$

contains a long block of consecutive integers.

**Lemma 3** ([L06, Lemma 3]). *Let  $B$  be a finite, non-empty set of integers. If  $\max B - \min B < \frac{2k-1}{k}|B| - 1$  with an integer  $k \geq 2$ , then  $B - B$  contains all integers from the interval  $(-|B|/(k-1), |B|/(k-1))$ .*

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

For real  $u < v$  and prime  $p$ , by  $\varphi_p$  we denote the canonical homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}$  onto  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , and by  $[u, v]_p$  the image of the set  $[u, v] \cap \mathbb{Z}$  under  $\varphi_p$ . In a similar way we define  $(u, v)_p$  and  $(u, v)_p$ .

We begin with the important particular case where  $B$  is a block of consecutive group elements, starting from 1. Thus, we assume that  $p$  is a prime,  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  satisfies  $1 < |A| < p/2$ , and  $m < \min\{c|A|/\ln|A|, \sqrt{p/8}\}$  is a positive integer (where  $c$  is the constant of Theorem 1), and show that, letting then  $B := [1, m]_p$ , we have  $\mu_A(B) \geq m$ .

Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $\mu_A(B) < m$ . Since  $A$  is a union of  $\Delta_A(1)$  blocks of consecutive elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , so is its complement  $\bar{A} := (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \setminus A$ , and we choose integers  $u < v$  such that  $[u, v]_p \subseteq \bar{A}$  and

$$v - u \geq \frac{|\bar{A}|}{\Delta_A(1)} > \frac{p}{2m} > m. \quad (3)$$

Rectifying the circle, we identify  $A$  with a set of integers  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [v, u+p)$ , and  $B$  with the set  $\mathcal{B} := [1, m] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ . Inequality (3) shows that an arithmetic progression in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  with difference  $d \in [1, m]_p$  cannot “jump over” the block  $[u, v]_p$ ; hence,  $\mu_A(B) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{B})$ . On the other hand, we have  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{B}) \geq |\mathcal{B}| = m$  by Theorem 1. It follows that  $\mu_A(B) \geq m$ , the contradicting sought.

We notice that so far instead of  $m < \sqrt{p/8}$  we have only used the weaker inequality

$$m < \sqrt{p/2}; \quad (4)$$

this observation is used below in the proof.

Having finished with the case where  $B$  consists of consecutive elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , we now address the general situation. Suppose, therefore, that  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  satisfy the assumptions of the theorem and, again, assume that  $\mu_A(B) < |B|$ .

For a subset  $S$  of an abelian group we write  $S^\pm := S \cup \{0\} \cup (-S)$ ; thus, by Property P1, we have  $\mu_A(S^\pm) = \mu_A(S)$  for any finite subset  $A$  of the group, and if  $S \cap (-S) = \emptyset$ , then  $|S^\pm| = 2|S| + 1$ .

If  $|2B^\pm| \geq \frac{1}{3}|A| + 1$ , then by the well-known Cauchy-Davenport inequality (see, for instance, [N96, Theorem 2.2]), we have  $|12B^\pm| > 2|A|$ . Thus, using Lemma 1 and estimate (1), and assuming that  $c$  is sufficiently small, we conclude that

$$\mu_A(B) = \mu_A(B^\pm) \geq \frac{1}{12} \mu_A(12B^\pm) > \frac{1}{24} |A| \geq |B|,$$

a contradiction; accordingly, we assume

$$|2B^\pm| < \frac{1}{3}|A| + 1.$$

Let  $C := (2B^\pm) \cap [1, p/2)_p$ . Observing that  $|C| = (|2B^\pm| - 1)/2 < \frac{1}{6}|A|$ , by Lemmas 2 and 1 and the assumption  $\mu_A(B) < |B|$  we get

$$\frac{5}{6}|C| < \mu_A(C) = \mu_A(2B^\pm) \leq 2\mu_A(B^\pm) = 2\mu_A(B) \leq 2(|B| - 1) = |B^\pm| - 3;$$

hence,

$$|2B^\pm| = 2|C| + 1 < \frac{12}{5}|B^\pm| - \frac{31}{5} < 2.4|B^\pm| - 3. \quad (5)$$

We now apply Theorem 3 to derive that the set  $B^\pm$  is contained in an arithmetic progression with at most  $|2B^\pm| - |B^\pm| + 1 < \frac{1}{3}|A| < p/2 + 1$  terms. Taking into account that  $0 \in B^\pm$  and dilating  $A$  and  $B$  suitably, we assume without loss of generality that  $B^\pm \subseteq (-p/4, p/4)_p$  and  $B^\pm$  is actually contained in a block of at most  $|2B^\pm| - |B^\pm| + 1$  consecutive elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq [1, p/4)$  be the set of integers such that  $B^\pm = \varphi_p(\mathcal{B}^\pm)$ , and write  $l := \max(\mathcal{B}^\pm) - \min(\mathcal{B}^\pm)$ . From (5) we conclude that

$$l \leq |2B^\pm| - |B^\pm| < \frac{3}{2}|B^\pm| - 1 = \frac{3}{2}|\mathcal{B}^\pm| - 1.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3 (applied with  $k = 2$ ) we have

$$[1, |\mathcal{B}^\pm| - 1] \subseteq \mathcal{B}^\pm - \mathcal{B}^\pm = 2\mathcal{B}^\pm,$$

whence

$$[1, |B^\pm| - 1]_p \subseteq 2B^\pm.$$

Recalling that the result is already established for the consecutive residues case, and observing that  $|B^\pm| - 1 = 2|B| < \sqrt{p/2}$  (to be compared with (4)), we obtain

$$\mu_A(2B^\pm) \geq \mu_A([1, |B^\pm| - 1]_p) \geq |B^\pm| - 1 = 2|B|.$$

Using now Lemma 1 we get

$$2\mu_A(B) = 2\mu_A(B^\pm) \geq \mu_A(2B^\pm) \geq 2|B|,$$

a contradiction completing the proof of Theorem 2.

## 5. AN APPLICATION: RESTRICTED SUMSETS IN ABELIAN GROUPS

Given two subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of an abelian group and a mapping  $\tau: B \rightarrow A$ , let

$$A \overset{\tau}{+} B := \{a + b: a \in A, b \in B, a \neq \tau(b)\}.$$

Restricted sumsets of this form, generalizing in a natural way the “classical” restricted sumset  $\{a + b: a \in A, b \in B, a \neq b\}$ , were studied, for instance, in [L00]. Since

$$|(A + b_1) \cup (A + b_2)| = |A| + |(A + b_1 - b_2) \setminus A|$$

for any  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ , we have

$$|A + B| \geq |A| + \mu_A(B - B)$$

and, furthermore,

$$|A \overset{\tau}{+} B| \geq |A| + \mu_A(B - B) - 2;$$

hence, lower-bound estimates for  $\mu_A(B - B)$  translate immediately into estimates for the cardinalities of the sumset  $A + B$  and the restricted sumset  $A \overset{\tau}{+} B$ . Here we confine ourselves to stating three corollaries of estimate (1), Lemma 2, and Theorem 2, respectively.

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are finite subsets of an abelian group. If for some real  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $|B| \leq (1 - \varepsilon)|A|$  and  $|B - B| \geq \varepsilon^{-1}|A|$ , then*

$$|A + B| \geq |A| + |B|$$

and

$$|A \overset{\tau}{+} B| \geq |A| + |B| - 2$$

for any mapping  $\tau: B \rightarrow A$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose that  $p$  is a prime and  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  are non-empty. If  $|A| < p/2$  and  $|B| < \sqrt{|A|} + 1$ , then for any mapping  $\tau: B \rightarrow A$  we have*

$$|A \overset{\tau}{+} B| \geq |A| + |B| - 3.$$

For the proof just notice that if  $2 \leq |B| \leq (p+1)/2$ , then by the Cauchy-Davenport inequality there exists a subset  $C \subseteq B - B$  with  $C \cap (-C) = \emptyset$  and  $|C| = |B| - 1$ , whence, in view of Lemma 2,

$$\mu_A(B - B) \geq \mu_A(C) \geq \left(1 - \frac{|C|}{|A|}\right) |C| = |B| - 1 - \frac{(|B| - 1)^2}{|A|} > |B| - 2.$$

**Theorem 6.** *Suppose that  $p$  is a prime and  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $1 < |A| < p/2$  and  $0 < |B| < \min\{\sqrt{p/8}, c|A|/\ln|A|\}$ , where  $c$  is a positive absolute constant, then for any mapping  $\tau: B \rightarrow A$  we have*

$$|A \overset{\tau}{+} B| \geq |A| + |B| - 3.$$

In connection with the last two theorems we notice that a construction presented in [L00] shows that for (non-empty) subsets  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  and a mapping  $\tau: B \rightarrow A$ , the estimate  $|A \overset{\tau}{+} B| \geq |A| + |B| - 3$  may fail in general, even if the right-hand side is substantially smaller than  $p$ . A question raised in [L00] and remaining open till now is whether this estimate holds true under the additional assumption that  $\tau$  is injective and  $|A| + |B| \leq p$ .

## REFERENCES

- [EH64] P. ERDŐS and H. HEILBRONN, On the addition of residue classes mod  $p$ , *Acta Arith.* **9** (1964), 149–159.
- [HLS08] Y.O. HAMIDOUNE, A.S. LLADÓ, and O. SERRA, On complete subsets of the cyclic group, *J. Comb. Theory, Series A* **115** (7) (2008), 1279–1285.
- [KL] S.V. KONYAGIN and V.F. LEV, On the number of popular differences, *Israel J. Math.*, to appear.
- [L06] V.F. LEV, Large sum-free sets in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , *Israel J. Math.* **154** (2006), 221–233.
- [L98] ———, Linear equations over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and moments of exponential sums, *Duke Math. J.* **107** (2) (2001), 239–263.
- [L00] ———, Restricted set addition in groups. II. A generalization of the Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture, *Electron. J. Combin.* **7** (2000), Research Paper 4, 10 pp. (electronic).
- [N96] M.B. NATHANSON, *Additive number theory. Inverse problems and the geometry of sumsets*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **165**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [O68] J.E. OLSON, An addition theorem modulo  $p$ , *J. Comb. Theory* **5** (1968), 45–52.